

**PROJECT TITLE:** Evaluation of spring wheat, durum, and barley varieties under minimum-till, continuous cropping conditions – 2011 (4W2756)

**PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:**

Joyce Eckhoff, Eastern Agricultural Research Center, 1501 N Central Ave, Sidney, MT 59270  
phone: (406)433-2208 e-mail: [joyce.eckhoff@montana.edu](mailto:joyce.eckhoff@montana.edu)

**OBJECTIVE:** To determine the best adapted varieties of spring wheat, durum, and barley for production under no-till continuous cropping conditions in eastern Montana.

**Methods:**

Soil type: Williams clay loam  
Previous crops: 2010- spring wheat, 2009- safflower, 2008 - small grain plots  
Residual soil N to 3 ft: 22 lb/bu  
Residual soil P to 6 in: 37 ppm  
Applied fertilizer: 40 lb N/ac as liquid 28-0-0  
Herbicides: Brox M, 1.5 pt/ac and Axial 16 oz/ac , applied June 13  
Precipitation April – August, 2011: 12.80 in  
Ave (62 yr) precipitation April – August: 9.55 in  
Precipitation September 2010 – August 2011:19.62 in  
Ave (62 yr) precipitation September – August: 14.06 in

It was generally a cool and very wet summer.

Experiment	Planting date	Harvest date	Plot size
recrop spring wheat	May 6	August 9	100 ft <sup>2</sup> , entire plot harvested
recrop durum	May 6	August 18	100 ft <sup>2</sup> , entire plot harvested
recrop barley	May 6	August 18	100 ft <sup>2</sup> , entire plot harvested

**RESULTS:**

**Spring wheat:** Eighteen lines and varieties of spring wheat were tested under dryland recrop conditions (Table 1). Thirteen varieties yielded significantly less than the check variety, Vida. Five-year summaries for yield, test weight, height, and protein content are shown in Tables 2 through 5.

**Durum:** Fifteen durum lines and varieties were tested under dryland recrop conditions (Table 6). Three lines or varieties yielded significantly lower than the check variety, Mountrail. Five-year summaries for yield, test weight, height, protein content, and NIR hardness are shown in Tables 7 through 11.

**Barley:** Sixteen barley lines and varieties were tested under dryland recrop conditions. (Table 12). Eight lines yielded significantly lower than the check variety, Haxby. Five-year summaries for yield, test weight, height, and protein content are shown in Tables 13 through 16.

**SUMMARY:** The experiments reported under this project are all of the replicated small plot type. The three-year crop rotation is commercial small grain, small grain yield trials, safflower.

**FUNDING SUMMARY:** Expenditure information to be provided by OSP. No other grants support this project.

**MWBC FY2012 GRANT SUBMISSION PLANS:** It is planned to submit this project for funding consideration in the next fiscal year.

Table 1. Agronomic data obtained from a dryland recrop spring wheat yield trial conducted at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Sidney, MT.

entry	heading*	height, cm	height, in	grain protein, %	test wt, lb/bu	yield, bu/ac	
Vida	56.3	61.7	24.3	16.20	61.2	43.1	
McNeal	58.3	67.0	26.4	14.72	60.1	40.1	
Outlook	57.0	62.3	24.5	14.51	59.7	40.1	
Reeder	56.0	60.7	23.9	16.52	60.9	38.6	
O'Neal	56.7	56.3	22.2	14.33	62.0	36.9	
Ap604CL	54.0	62.7	24.7	13.70	63.0	36.6	x
IMICHT79	55.3	62.0	24.4	15.62	61.3	36.1	x
Mott	57.0	63.3	24.9	16.04	61.7	36.0	x
SY Tyra	55.0	54.3	21.4	14.93	61.4	36.0	x
Duclair	54.3	60.0	23.6	14.68	58.6	34.6	x
Corbin	56.3	60.3	23.8	14.92	62.5	34.5	x
Volt	57.3	57.7	22.7	14.99	63.6	34.4	x
Jedd	54.7	55.3	21.8	14.21	62.6	34.3	x
Prosper	58.3	60.7	23.9	16.01	61.9	33.8	x
Gunnison	57.3	58.0	22.8	14.10	61.2	33.6	x
Kelby	54.0	58.3	23.0	15.76	62.8	33.3	x
Choteau	56.7	59.0	23.2	14.82	60.4	32.6	x
Kuntz	57.3	58.7	23.1	14.19	63.3	22.5	x
mean	56.2	59.9	23.6	15.01	61.6	35.4	
Probability	0.052	0.019	0.019			<0.001	
CV (S/mean)	3.1	5.9	5.9			10.8	
CV (SE/mean)	1.8	3.4	3.4			6.2	
LSD 0.05	2.9	5.9	2.3			6.3	

\*days from planting

x indicates significantly lower yield than check variety, Vida, at probability of <0.05

Table 2. Relative yielding abilities of spring wheat varieties in bu/ac as compared to Vida when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Vida
Vida	38.1	6.3	29.8	53.8	43.1	34.2	100.0
Outlook	40.4	6.5	21.7	47.6	40.1	31.3	91.4
Reeder	35.9	7.9	21.9	46.9	38.6	30.2	88.4
O'Neal	--	8.7	27.6	43.9	36.9	29.3	88.0
Duclair	--	--	--	49.4	34.6	42.0	86.7
IMICHT79	--	--	--	--	36.1	36.1	83.8
SY Tyra	--	--	--	--	36.0	36.0	83.5
McNeal	28.2	8.4	24.5	40.1	40.1	28.3	82.6
AP604CL	--	--	21.6	45.1	36.6	34.4	81.5
Prosper	--	--	--	--	33.8	33.8	78.4
Mott	--	--	16.1	46.9	36.0	33.0	78.1
Jedd	--	8.5	20.2	40.8	34.3	26.0	78.0
Gunnison	--	--	--	--	33.6	33.6	78.0
Volt	--	8.0	23.4	37.8	34.4	25.9	77.9
Choteau	29.5	7.7	20.0	43.2	32.6	26.6	77.7
Kelby	--	6.7	17.6	42.6	33.3	25.1	75.3
Corbin	--	6.7	12.6	43.7	34.5	24.4	73.3
Kuntz	--	7.2	17.2	41.6	22.5	22.1	66.5

NOTE: Average yields in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare only to the check variety.

Table 3. Relative test weights of spring wheat varieties in lb/bu as compared to Vida when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Vida
Jedd	--	59.3	63.3	61.0	62.6	61.6	103.1
Kelby	--	58.8	61.7	61.5	62.8	61.2	102.5
Volt	--	56.0	63.5	61.0	63.6	61.0	102.2
AP604CL	--	--	63.5	59.5	63.0	62.0	101.7
Kuntz	--	56.2	62.0	60.5	63.3	60.5	101.3
Prosper	--	--	--	--	61.9	61.9	101.1
O'Neal	--	56.3	62.5	60.5	62.0	60.3	101.0
Reeder	62.0	57.0	61.3	61.5	60.9	60.5	100.6
Choteau	62.8	56.8	61.5	60.5	60.4	60.4	100.4
SY Tyra	--	--	--	--	61.4	61.4	100.3
Corbin	--	56.5	61.0	59.5	62.5	59.9	100.3
IMICHT79	--	--	--	--	61.3	61.3	100.2
Vida	62.0	56.0	61.7	60.0	61.2	60.2	100.0
Gunnison	--	--	--	--	61.2	61.2	100.0
Mott	--	--	60.7	60.0	61.7	60.8	99.7
Duclair	--	--	--	59.5	58.6	59.1	97.4
McNeal	61.2	51.7	60.0	60.0	60.1	58.6	97.4
Outlook	60.5	52.0	60.3	59.5	59.7	58.4	97.0

NOTE: Average test weights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare only to the check variety.

Table 4. Relative heights of spring wheat varieties in inches as compared to Vida when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Vida
McNeal	29	19	18	29	26	24.2	100.8
Vida	30	19	18	29	24	24.0	100.0
AP604CL	--	--	17	29	25	23.7	100.0
Mott	--	--	17	29	25	23.7	100.0
IMICHT79	--	--	--	--	24	24.0	100.0
Prosper	--	--	--	--	24	24.0	100.0
Duclair	--	--	--	28	24	26.0	98.1
Outlook	30	18	17	28	24	23.4	97.5
O'Neal	--	20	18	27	22	21.8	96.7
Gunnison	--	--	--	--	23	23.0	95.8
Kelby	--	19	18	26	23	21.5	95.6
Corbin	--	18	16	28	24	21.5	95.6
Reeder	28	18	16	28	24	22.8	95.0
Volt	--	18	17	26	23	21.0	93.3
Kuntz	--	18	16	27	23	21.0	93.3
Choteau	28	17	16	27	23	22.2	92.5
SY Tyra	--	--	--	--	21	21.0	87.5
Jedd	--	16	16	23	22	19.3	85.6

NOTE: Average heights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare only to the check variety.

Table 5. Relative protein contents of spring wheat varieties in percent as compared to Vida when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Vida
Reeder	15.7	17.1	19.2	13.2	16.5	16.3	105.6
Kelby	--	16.6	18.6	13.5	15.8	16.1	103.4
Corbin	--	17.1	19.1	11.8	14.9	15.7	100.8
Mott	--	--	18.2	12.6	16.0	15.6	100.4
Vida	15.0	15.8	17.8	12.6	16.2	15.5	100.0
Prosper	--	--	--	--	16.0	16.0	98.8
IMICHT79	--	--	--	--	15.6	15.6	96.3
Outlook	14.1	14.8	18.4	11.8	14.5	14.7	95.1
Kuntz	--	15.5	17.6	12.0	14.2	14.8	95.0
Volt	--	15.8	16.7	11.2	15.0	14.7	94.1
AP604CL	--	--	17.6	12.0	13.7	14.4	92.9
O'Neal	--	14.8	16.4	12.4	14.3	14.5	92.8
Choteau	12.4	15.4	17.7	11.5	14.8	14.4	92.8
SY Tyra	--	--	--	--	14.9	14.9	92.0
McNeal	11.3	15.1	17.2	11.7	14.7	14.0	90.4
Jedd	--	14.5	17.2	10.3	14.2	14.1	90.1
Duclair	--	--	--	11.0	14.7	12.9	89.2
Gunnison	--	--	--	--	14.1	14.1	87.0

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare only to the check variety.

Table 6. Agronomic data obtained from a dryland recrop durum yield trial conducted at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Sidney, MT.

entry	heading*	height, cm	height, in	grain protein, %	HVAC	test wt, lb/bu	yield, bu/ac
Divide	61.3	63.3	24.9	13.08	83.9	62.0	39.8
Alkabo	61.0	59.7	23.5	13.70	103.5	62.5	38.0
Westhope	60.3	61.7	24.3	13.77	98.4	62.0	37.8
Grenora	60.0	58.7	23.1	13.44	100.0	62.0	37.0
Strongfield	61.0	64.3	25.3	14.31	99.8	62.0	36.9
Mountrail	61.7	59.0	23.2	13.45	96.1	62.5	36.5
Pierce	61.3	67.3	26.5	13.52	103.2	62.0	36.2
Tioga	61.0	68.7	27.0	13.02	90.2	62.5	35.5
MT03012	58.0	58.0	22.8	14.01	97.4	62.0	34.3
MT05183	60.7	56.3	22.2	13.68	105.8	63.0	33.8
MT05166	59.7	53.3	21.0	12.97	90.2	62.0	32.8
Belfield	58.0	55.3	21.8	13.62	96.8	61.5	32.8
MT04174	59.0	54.3	21.4	14.02	102.8	62.0	31.7 x
Alzada	58.3	57.0	22.4	13.88	101.3	61.0	31.6 x
MT04340	59.0	53.3	21.0	13.92	97.4	60.0	27.9 x
mean	60.2	59.0	23.2	13.68	97.8	61.8	33.7
probability	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				<0.001
CV (S/mean)	1.9	5.4	5.4				8.4
CV (SE/mean)	1.1	3.1	3.1				4.9
LSD 0.05	2.0	5.3	2.1				4.7

\* days from planting

x indicates significantly lower yield than check variety, Mountrail, at p = 0.05

Table 7. Relative yields of durum varieties in bu/ac as compared to Mountrail when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Westhope	--	--	--	--	37.8	37.8	103.6
Divide	38.8	7.3	37.9	48.6	39.8	34.5	102.3
Tioga	--	--	--	46.0	35.5	40.8	101.6
Alkabo	48.5	8.5	31.5	44.3	38.0	34.2	101.4
Mountrail	43.6	6.5	38.2	43.7	36.5	33.7	100.0
MT03012	36.4	6.9	38.8	44.4	34.3	32.2	95.4
MT05183	--	--	--	--	33.8	33.8	92.6
Grenora	41.4	5.8	24.9	46.2	37.0	31.1	92.2
Normanno	--	--	31.1	42.8	--	37.0	90.2
MT05166	--	--	--	--	32.8	32.8	89.9
Belfield	--	--	--	--	32.8	32.8	89.9
Strongfield	28.7	6.6	36.5	42.7	36.9	30.3	89.9
Alzada	39.9	7.9	29.6	41.7	31.6	30.1	89.4
MT04174	--	5.7	31.2	41.9	31.7	27.6	88.5
Pierce	40.2	6.5	20.6	44.0	36.2	29.5	87.5
MT04340	--	--	--	38.6	27.9	33.3	82.9

NOTE: Average yields in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 8. Relative test weights of durum varieties in lb/bu as compared to Mountrail when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Alkabo	63.2	58.7	61.0	60.5	62.5	61.2	101.8
MT05183	--	--	--	--	63.0	63.0	100.8
Pierce	62.2	57.5	60.3	61.0	62.0	60.6	100.8
MT04174	--	56.2	61.3	60.5	62.0	60.0	100.6
Divide	62.2	56.5	61.5	59.5	62.0	60.3	100.4
MT03012	63.0	55.7	61.2	59.5	62.0	60.3	100.3
Grenora	62.8	56.0	60.5	60.0	62.0	60.3	100.2
Mountrail	62.0	54.8	60.8	60.5	62.5	60.1	100.0
Strongfield	62.2	56.2	60.7	59.0	62.0	60.0	99.8
Alzada	61.2	56.7	60.3	60.5	61.0	59.9	99.7
Tioga	--	--	--	60.0	62.5	61.3	99.6
Westhope	--	--	--	--	62.0	62.0	99.2
MT05166	--	--	--	--	62.0	62.0	99.2
Normanno	--	--	60.2	59.5	--	59.9	98.7
Belfield	--	--	--	--	61.5	61.5	98.4
MT04340	--	--	--	58.0	60.0	59.0	95.9

NOTE: Average test weights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare test weights only to the check variety.

Table 9. Relative heights of durum varieties in inches as compared to Mountrail when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Tioga	--	--	--	32	27	29.5	120.4
Pierce	35	18	20	31	26	26.0	112.1
Divide	32	20	21	29	25	25.4	109.5
Alkabo	32	19	19	28	24	24.4	105.2
Westhope	--	--	--	--	24	24.0	104.3
Strongfield	29	19	19	28	25	24.0	103.4
Mountrail	31	17	19	26	23	23.2	100.0
Grenora	29	18	18	27	23	23.0	99.1
Alzada	29	20	18	25	22	22.8	98.3
MT04174	--	18	17	26	21	20.5	96.5
MT05183	--	--	--	--	22	22.0	95.7
Belfield	--	--	--	--	22	22.0	95.7
MT03012	26	16	18	23	23	21.2	91.4
MT05166	--	--	--	--	21	21.0	91.3
MT04340	--	--	--	23	21	22.0	89.8
Normanno	--	--	16	23	--	19.5	86.7

NOTE: Average heights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare heights only to the check variety.

Table 10. Relative protein contents of durum varieties in percent as compared to Mountrail when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Mountrail
MT04340	--	--	--	13.1	13.9	13.5	111.1
Pierce	14.2	14.8	16.6	12.3	13.5	14.3	104.2
Tioga	--	--	--	12.2	13.0	12.6	103.7
Strongfield	12.6	15.5	15.7	12.7	14.3	14.2	103.4
Westhope	--	--	--	--	13.8	13.8	103.0
MT04174	--	15.8	15.0	11.4	14.0	14.1	102.4
MT05183	--	--	--	--	13.7	13.7	102.2
Belfield	--	--	--	--	13.6	13.6	101.5
Alzada	13.6	14.8	15.3	11.3	13.9	13.8	100.6
Grenora	13.5	14.9	15.4	11.4	13.4	13.7	100.1
Alkabo	13.9	13.8	14.8	12.4	13.7	13.7	100.1
Mountrail	13.6	15.7	14.9	10.9	13.4	13.7	100.0
Normanno	--	--	14.7	11.0	--	12.9	99.6
MT03012	13.4	15.1	13.6	12.0	14.0	13.6	99.4
Divide	14.2	14.5	14.5	11.6	13.1	13.6	99.1
MT05166	--	--	--	--	13.0	13.0	97.0

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare only to the check variety.

Table 11. Relative NIR hardness of durum varieties in percent as compared to Mountrail when grown under dryland continuous cropping at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Mountrail
MT04340	--	--	--	81.9	97.4	89.7	121.9
Pierce	85.3	74.0	102.9	74.8	103.2	88.0	111.4
MT05183	--	--	--	--	105.8	105.8	110.1
MT04174	--	75.4	104.4	64.6	102.8	86.8	109.6
MT03012	87.6	71.0	102.0	74.9	97.4	86.6	109.6
Alzada	85.7	72.4	98.6	71.1	101.3	85.8	108.6
Alkabo	84.9	66.4	103.9	64.9	103.5	84.7	107.2
Strongfield	69.1	69.5	105.3	74.2	99.8	83.6	105.8
Tioga	--	--	--	63.1	90.2	76.7	104.2
Grenora	82.8	72.1	103.6	52.1	100.0	82.1	103.9
Normanno	--	--	93.6	65.2	--	79.4	102.9
Westhope	--	--	--	--	98.4	98.4	102.4
Divide	84.5	66.9	105.3	57.9	83.9	79.7	100.9
Belfield	--	--	--	--	96.8	96.8	100.7
Mountrail	78.3	66.3	103.3	51.0	96.1	79.0	100.0
MT05166	--	--	--	--	90.2	90.2	93.9

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare only to the check variety.

Table 12. Agronomic data obtained from a dryland recrop barley yield trial conducted at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Sidney, MT.

entry	heading*	height, cm	height, in	grain protein	test wt, lb/bu	yield, bu/ac	
Goldeneye	59.0	53.7	21.1	15.01	46.5	42.0	
Haxby	60.3	54.7	21.5	14.06	47.0	40.3	
Pinnacle	58.3	55.0	21.7	13.00	46.5	38.4	
Metcalfe	61.7	60.3	23.8	14.60	46.0	36.5	
Conrad	65.3	55.0	21.7	14.31	46.0	36.5	
MT020155	57.7	52.7	20.7	11.84	45.5	36.2	
Gallatin	59.3	54.7	21.5	12.39	47.0	34.0	
Hockett	62.7	52.3	20.6	13.41	46.0	33.5	
MT061035	63.0	52.3	20.6	14.27	47.5	32.0	x
Geraldine	64.7	49.0	19.3	13.52	47.0	31.5	x
MT070175	63.3	53.3	21.0	11.65	47.0	31.2	x
Harrington	62.7	54.3	21.4	12.23	47.0	30.3	x
MT020162	64.7	52.3	20.6	13.13	45.5	29.8	x
MT010158	62.3	57.7	22.7	15.87	44.0	26.5	x
Tradition	59.7	56.3	22.2	11.65	47.0	25.2	x
MT010160	64.0	56.0	22.0	12.72	46.0	21.5	x
mean	61.79	54.35	21.4	13.35	46.3	32.8	
probability	<0.001	0.285	0.285			<0.001	
CV (S/mean)	3.1	7.3	7.3			14.0	
CV (SE/mean)	1.8	4.2	4.2			8.1	
LSD 0.05	3.2	NS	NS			7.7	

\*days from planting

x indicates significantly lower yield than check variety, Haxby, at probability = 0.05

Table 13. Relative yields of barley varieties in bu/ac compared to Haxby when grown under dryland recrop conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2006	2007	2008	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Haxby
Goldeneye	--	--	--	62.0	42.0	52.0	113.9
MT020155	--	--	8.4	65.7	36.2	36.8	111.0
Haxby	59.1	40.8	8.1	51.0	40.3	39.9	100.0
Gallatin	--	--	--	54.6	34.0	44.3	97.0
Hockett	53.0	33.5	10.7	60.9	33.5	38.3	96.1
Metcalfe	54.0	39.9	6.4	53.1	36.5	38.0	95.3
Conrad	47.6	41.4	8.9	55.4	36.5	38.0	95.2
Pinnacle	--	--	--	46.5	38.4	42.5	93.0
Harrington	51.4	36.5	7.4	54.0	30.3	35.9	90.1
MT010160	--	--	--	60.7	21.5	41.1	90.0
Tradition	54.4	41.1	8.3	--	25.2	32.3	87.0
Geraldine	44.9	33.7	7.6	54.9	31.5	34.5	86.6
Amsterdam	--	--	7.8	47.8	26.5	27.4	82.6
MT061035	--	--	--	--	32.0	32.0	79.4
MT070175	--	--	--	--	31.2	31.2	77.4
MT020162	--	--	--	--	29.8	29.8	73.9

NOTE: Average yields in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety. No trial in 2009.

Table 14. Relative test weights of barley varieties in lb/bu compared to Haxby when grown under dryland recrop conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2006	2007	2008	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Haxby
MT061035	--	--	--	--	47.5	47.5	101.1
Haxby	49.3	51.8	50.5	54.0	47.0	50.5	100.0
MT070175	--	--	--	--	47.0	47.0	100.0
Gallatin	--	--	--	51.0	47.0	49.0	97.0
MT020162	--	--	--	--	45.5	45.5	96.8
Pinnacle	--	--	--	51.0	46.5	48.8	96.5
Goldeneye	--	--	--	50.0	46.5	48.3	95.5
Geraldine	46.2	50.5	47.0	50.0	47.0	48.1	95.3
Hockett	46.3	51.3	46.0	50.5	46.0	48.0	95.1
MT010160	--	--	--	50.0	46.0	48.0	95.0
Tradition	47.0	50.0	44.5	--	47.0	47.1	94.9
Conrad	45.0	49.7	47.5	50.5	46.0	47.7	94.5
Amsterdam	--	--	47.0	52.0	44.0	47.7	94.4
MT020155	--	--	46.0	50.5	45.5	47.3	93.7
Metcalfe	44.7	50.8	45.0	49.5	46.0	47.2	93.4
Harrington	44.0	50.0	43.5	49.5	47.0	46.8	92.6

NOTE: Average test weights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare test weights only to the check variety. No trial in 2009.

Table 15. Relative heights of barley varieties in inches compared to Haxby when grown under dryland recrop conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2006	2007	2008	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Haxby
Gallatin	--	--	--	26	22	24.0	109.1
Tradition	27	25	20	--	22	23.5	108.0
MT020155	--	--	18	25	21	21.3	104.9
Metcalfe	26	22	18	24	24	22.8	104.6
MT010160	--	--	--	24	22	23.0	104.5
Goldeneye	--	--	--	24	21	22.5	102.3
Haxby	26	22	17	22	22	21.8	100.0
Amsterdam	--	--	16	22	23	20.3	100.0
Hockett	24	20	17	25	21	21.4	98.2
Harrington	25	22	16	21	21	21.0	96.3
MT061035	--	--	--	--	21	21.0	95.5
MT070175	--	--	--	--	21	21.0	95.5
MT020162	--	--	--	--	21	21.0	95.5
Conrad	24	20	16	22	22	20.8	95.4
Pinnacle	--	--	--	19	22	20.5	93.2
Geraldine	23	18	15	21	19	19.2	88.1

NOTE: Average heights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare heights only to the check variety. No trial in 2009.

Table 16. Relative protein contents as percent of barley varieties compared to Haxby when grown under dryland recrop conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2006	2007	2008	2010	2011	Ave	as % of Haxby
Goldeneye	--	--	--	10.9	15.0	13.0	115.6
Conrad	11.9	10.9	10.9	10.7	14.3	11.7	102.1
MT061035	--	--	--	--	14.3	14.3	101.4
Geraldine	11.4	10.4	13.0	9.7	13.5	11.6	100.9
Metcalfe	12.3	8.9	12.7	9.5	14.6	11.6	100.9
Haxby	10.7	10.9	13.5	8.3	14.1	11.5	100.0
Amsterdam	--	--	11.5	8.3	15.9	11.9	99.4
Hockett	10.7	9.3	12.3	9.4	13.4	11.0	95.8
Gallatin	--	--	--	8.8	12.4	10.6	94.6
Harrington	10.9	9.9	12.3	9.0	12.2	10.9	94.4
Pinnacle	--	--	--	8.1	13.0	10.6	94.2
MT010160	--	--	--	8.3	12.7	10.5	93.8
MT020162	--	--	--	--	13.1	13.1	92.9
MT020155	--	--	11.5	9.4	11.8	10.9	91.1
Tradition	10.1	10.2	10.2	--	11.6	10.5	85.6
MT070175	--	--	--	--	11.6	11.6	82.3

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare protein contents only to the check variety. No trial in 2009.