

PROJECT TITLE: Evaluation of regional spring wheat, durum, and oat yield trials - 2004

PROJECT LEADER:

Joyce Eckhoff, MSU Eastern Ag Research Center, 1501 N Central Ave, Sidney, MT 59270
phone: (406)433-2208 fax: (406)433-7336 e-mail: jeckhoff@sidney.ars.usda.gov

PROJECT PERSONNEL:

Dr. G. Linkert, University of Minnesota
Dr. G Hareland, North Dakota State University
Dr. E.M. Elias, North Dakota State University
Dr. C. Erickson, USDA National Small Grain Facility, Aberdeen, Idaho

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate new and introduced lines and cultivars of spring wheat, durum, and oats developed by Universities, the USDA-ARS, and private seed companies, and to determine adaptability of those lines and varieties to conditions in eastern Montana.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Dryland site:

Soil type: Williams clay loam
Previous crops: 2003 - fallow, 2002 - safflower. 2001 - small grain plots
Residual soil N to 3 ft: 121 lb N/ac
Residual soil P to 6 in: 27 ppm
Residual soil K to 6 in: 282 ppm
Applied fertilizer: None
Herbicides: 2 pt/ac Bronate applied May 28

Irrigated site:

Precipitation April – August, 2004: 10.01 inches
Ave (56 yr) precipitation April – August: 9.44 inches
Precipitation September 2003 – August 2004: 13.50 inches
Ave (56 yr) precipitation September – August: 13.83 inches

Soil type: Savage silty clay
Previous crops: 2003 - safflower, 2002 - sugarbeets, 2001 – barley
Residual soil N to 3 ft: 80 lb N/ac
Residual soil P to 6 in: 27 ppm
Residual soil K to 6 in: 316 ppm
Applied fertilizer: 200 lb 18-46-0 applied October 28, 2003
Irrigated (sprinkler) on: May 4, May 17, Jun 21
Herbicides: 1.75 pt/ac Bronate applied Jun 8
Fungicide: 20 Oz/ac Tilt and 3 oz/ac Folicure applied Jun 24

Precipitation April – August, 2004: 6.45 inches
Ave (56 yr) precipitation April – August: 9.44 inches
Precipitation September 2003 – August 2004: 10.79 inches
Ave (56 yr) precipitation September – August: 13.83 inches

Comments:

Good soil moisture at planting, but winds from early March to the end of May dried the soil quickly. Grain planted into moisture did well, grain planted into dry soil did not emerge until irrigated. Summer was cooler than average.

Planting and harvest dates in 2004 were:

<u>Nursery</u>	<u>Planting date</u>	<u>Harvest date</u>	
Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat Trial	Apr 12	Aug 16	
Uniform Regional Durum Trial – dryland	Apr 9	Aug 12	
Uniform Regional Durum Trial – irrigated	Apr 21	Aug 20	
Uniform Regional Oat Trial	Apr 8	Aug 12	Aug 12

RESULTS:

Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat trial: The Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring wheat trial is conducted in cooperation with Dr. G. Linkert of the University of Minnesota, St. Paul. Dr. G. Hareland of North Dakota State University, Fargo, tests quality of each line and variety. Forty experimental lines and varieties of spring wheat were tested under dryland conditions (Table 1). Four experimental lines and varieties yielded significantly more than the check variety, Verde, and four yielded significantly less. Average yield was 59.5 bu/acre. Five-year summaries for yield, test weight, and protein are shown in Tables 2 through 4.

Uniform Regional Durum trial, dryland: The Uniform Regional Durum trial is conducted in cooperation with Dr. E.M. Elias, North Dakota State University, Fargo. Dr. G. Hareland of North Dakota State University, Fargo, tests quality of each line and variety. Twenty-four experimental lines and varieties were tested under dryland fallow conditions (Table 5). No lines or varieties significantly out yielded the check variety, Mountrail and 12 yielded significantly less. Average yield was 54.8 bu/acre. Five-year summaries for yield, test weight, and protein are shown in Tables 6 through 8.

Uniform Regional Durum trial, dryland: The Uniform Regional Durum trial is conducted in cooperation with Dr. E.M. Elias, North Dakota State University, Fargo. Dr. G. Hareland of North Dakota State University, Fargo, tests quality of each line and variety. Twenty-four experimental lines and varieties were tested under sprinkle irrigated conditions (Table 9). Two lines or varieties yielded significantly more than the check variety Mountrail, and one yielded significantly less. Average yield was 81.2 bu/acre. Five-year summaries for yield, test weight, protein content and lodging of durum varieties grown under irrigation are shown in Tables 10 through 13.

Uniform Regional Oat trial: The Uniform Regional Oat trial is conducted in cooperation with Dr. C. Erickson of the USDA-ARS National Small Grain Facility, Aberdeen, ID. Thirty-two experimental lines and varieties were tested (Table 14). Twelve lines yielded significantly more than the check variety, Otana and one yielded significantly less. Average yield was 144.4 bu/acre. Five-year summaries for yield, test weight, and protein are shown in Tables 15 through 17.

SUMMARY: The uniform regional yield trials are conducted at many sites in several states across the western USA, and have been in place since the 1930's. These trials provide important information about experimental lines from state breeding programs, private companies, and the USDA-ARS breeding programs. New varieties are released based on data from these trials.

FUTURE PLANS: New and existing varieties and experimental lines of spring wheat, durum and oat will continue to be tested under dryland and irrigated conditions at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, so that breeders can release improved varieties and producers can have information on varieties that are adapted to this area. A durum selection and breeding program has been established at EARC in cooperation with the durum breeder from NDSU for development of new varieties adapted to irrigated and dryland conditions in eastern Montana and western North Dakota. New and existing varieties and experimental lines of winter wheat are now being tested under dryland conditions at the Williston Research Center in cooperation with the winter wheat breeder from MSU.

Table 1. Agronomic data obtained from a Uniform Regional hard red spring wheat yield trial grown under dryland fallow conditions at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Sidney, MT.

Line or variety	Heading*	Height, cm	Grain protein	Test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu/ac	
98S01270	69.7	70.7	13.58	62.5	72.7	a
MT 0245	72.7	78.7	15.38	61.3	72.5	a
WA007931	69.7	84.7	13.51	62.0	72.1	a
MT 0249	69.7	75.0	15.02	61.7	69.9	a
SD 3746	71.3	74.3	13.17	60.3	68.6	a
SD 3747	67.0	74.0	13.56	60.8	67.1	
BZ998447	69.3	83.3	12.78	60.5	67.0	
SD 3618	69.7	86.7	14.91	61.3	66.6	
2375	70.0	83.7	14.58	61.7	66.6	
98S00511	71.0	76.3	14.55	61.7	64.2	
ND 800	72.7	85.7	15.10	62.0	64.2	
NDSW0347	72.3	83.0	16.61	61.5	64.1	
MN01311A	70.7	85.7	15.48	62.0	63.8	
Keene	71.3	96.0	15.76	62.0	62.5	
SD 3635	70.7	90.0	14.26	60.8	62.5	
WA007925	71.0	80.7	15.18	60.8	62.4	
McNeal	74.3	78.3	13.76	59.8	62.3	
96S04040	70.0	68.3	15.02	62.2	61.5	
CA901580	68.3	93.0	15.80	62.0	60.4	
ND 751	74.0	85.3	15.82	61.8	60.2	
á97S0254	74.0	71.0	14.61	61.2	60.2	
SD 3668	67.3	91.7	15.12	62.2	60.0	
ND 741	71.3	80.0	15.75	61.5	59.7	
MN994366	68.7	76.0	15.18	61.8	59.2	
SD 3687	70.3	82.3	14.86	59.0	59.1	
NDSW0345	75.7	89.7	15.92	61.2	59.1	
Verde	73.3	74.0	14.35	61.0	57.8	
ES63	74.3	83.7	15.09	58.7	57.6	
BW341	71.7	87.7	15.76	61.3	57.4	
NDSW0217	70.7	87.7	13.30	59.8	56.2	
ND 747	69.0	88.3	16.92	63.3	55.4	
ND 801	71.0	85.7	17.05	61.7	53.9	
N990241	75.0	75.7	14.88	61.0	53.6	
MN002614	74.0	76.7	14.76	61.7	53.1	
MN01333A	70.0	72.0	14.92	61.2	49.1	
CA902701	68.7	76.7	17.27	62.7	48.1	
BW346	72.7	89.7	16.47	59.5	45.0	x
Chris	74.3	92.0	15.97	58.8	44.1	x
Marquis	77.0	104.3	16.28	59.2	40.8	x
MN01197	77.3	78.3	15.17	57.7	40.7	x
Average	71.5	82.4	15.09	61.1	59.5	
Probability	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
CV (S/Mean) %	1.8	5.6	4.3	1.2	10.7	
LSD (0.05)	2.0	7.5	1.04	1.2	10.3	

*days from planting

a indicates significantly greater yield than check variety, Verde, at a probability of 0.05

x indicates significantly lower yield than check variety, Verde, at a probability of 0.05

Table 2. Relative yields of spring wheat varieties as compared to Chris when grown under dryland fallow conditions in the Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat trial at Sidney, MT.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Verde
MT0245	--	--	--	--	72.5	72.5	125.4
MT0249	--	--	--	--	69.9	69.9	120.9
BZ998447	--	--	--	61.3	67.0	64.2	115.6
NDSW0347	--	--	--	--	64.1	64.1	110.9
ND 800	--	--	--	58.5	64.2	61.4	110.5
McNeal	--	--	--	--	62.3	62.3	107.8
Outlook	--	60.5	43.4	--	--	52.0	106.9
ND751	--	--	--	57.9	60.2	59.1	106.4
NDSW0345	--	--	--	--	59.1	59.1	102.2
2375	52.6	51.0	44.7	56.3	66.6	54.2	101.3
Keene	57.1	50.2	44.8	54.4	62.5	53.8	100.5
Verde	59.5	51.3	45.9	53.2	57.8	53.5	100.0
Steele (ND741)	--	50.5	45.5	52.5	59.7	52.1	100.0
ND801	--	--	--	55.3	53.9	54.6	98.4
ND747	--	--	--	53.4	55.4	54.4	98.0
NDSW0217	--	--	--	--	56.2	56.2	97.2
Choteau	--	--	37.7	47.9	--	42.8	86.4
Chris 525-1	52.7	43.0	33.9	41.8	44.1	43.1	80.5
Marquis	45.5	46.7	29.8	43.4	40.8	41.2	77.0

NOTE: Average yields in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 3. Relative test weights of spring wheat varieties as compared to Chris when grown under dryland fallow conditions in the Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat trial at Sidney, MT.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Verde
ND747	--	--	--	64.0	63.3	63.7	103.7
Keene	62.5	62.2	60.5	62.7	62.0	62.0	102.1
ND 800	--	--	--	63.0	62.0	62.5	101.9
ND751	--	--	--	63.0	61.8	62.4	101.7
ND801	--	--	--	63.0	61.7	62.4	101.6
MT0249	--	--	--	--	61.7	61.7	101.1
NDSW0347	--	--	--	--	61.5	61.5	100.8
Steele (ND741)	--	61.7	58.5	61.5	61.5	60.8	100.7
2375	62.8	60.7	58.8	61.3	61.7	61.1	100.6
MT0245	--	--	--	--	61.3	61.3	100.5
NDSW0345	--	--	--	--	61.2	61.2	100.3
Choteau	--	--	57.7	62.5	--	60.1	100.3
Verde	62.2	60.5	58.2	61.7	61.0	60.7	100.0
Marquis	62.5	60.5	58.7	62.0	59.2	60.6	99.8
Outlook	--	59.7	57.7	--	--	58.7	98.9
Chris 525-1	62.7	59.8	57.0	60.2	58.8	59.7	98.3
BZ998447	--	--	--	59.8	60.5	60.2	98.0
NDSW0217	--	--	--	--	59.8	59.8	98.0
McNeal	--	--	--	--	59.8	59.8	98.0

NOTE: Average test weights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare test weights only to the check variety.

Table 4. Relative protein contents of spring wheat varieties as compared to Chris when grown under dryland fallow conditions in the Uniform Regional Hard Red Spring Wheat trial at Sidney, MT.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Verde
NDSW0347	--	--	--	--	16.6	16.6	115.3
ND747	--	--	--	15.0	16.9	16.0	112.7
Marquis	13.1	13.3	15.0	15.3	16.3	14.6	111.1
NDSW0345	--	--	--	--	15.9	15.9	110.4
ND801	--	--	--	14.0	17.0	15.5	109.5
Keene	13.9	13.0	13.8	15.1	15.8	14.3	109.0
Chris 525-1	12.9	13.3	14.3	15.0	16.0	14.3	108.8
MT0245	--	--	--	--	15.4	15.4	106.9
Steele (ND741)	--	13.8	13.2	13.5	15.8	14.1	105.0
MT0249	--	--	--	--	15.0	15.0	104.2
ND751	--	--	--	13.2	15.8	14.5	102.5
Outlook	--	12.8	13.1	--	--	13.0	102.4
ND 800	--	--	--	13.7	15.1	14.4	101.8
Verde	12.1	12.1	13.2	13.9	14.4	13.1	100.0
2375	12.1	12.2	13.0	13.6	14.6	13.1	99.7
Choteau	--	--	13.0	13.5	--	13.3	97.8
McNeal	--	--	--	--	13.8	13.8	95.8
NDSW0217	--	--	--	--	13.3	13.3	92.4
BZ998447	--	--	--	12.1	12.8	12.5	88.0

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare protein contents only to the check variety.

Table 5. Agronomic data obtained from a Uniform Regional durum yield trial grown under dryland fallow conditions at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Sidney, MT

Line of variety	Heading*	Height, cm	Hard vitreous amber color	grain protein	test weight, lb/bu	Yield, bu/ac
AC AVONLEA	73.3	92.7	93.7	13.91	61.0	61.0
D99073	75.0	74.0	83.3	13.07	60.8	60.7
MOUNTRAIL	76.0	89.7	93.1	13.42	61.2	60.7
PLAZA	76.0	73.0	88.3	13.25	60.8	59.7
MAIER	74.7	83.3	96.3	13.91	61.3	58.2
D96604	75.0	84.0	96.4	12.52	61.7	57.9
D97780	74.3	82.0	94.3	13.57	61.7	57.1
D99983	75.3	88.0	88.4	13.09	60.7	56.9
D971511	75.3	86.7	88.5	13.81	60.8	55.9
RENVILLE	75.0	93.7	94.3	13.24	61.7	55.7
D99637	75.7	90.0	85.3	13.74	61.3	54.9
PIERCE	74.3	85.7	86.8	13.72	61.8	54.8
D97643	74.7	84.7	59.5	11.84	60.7	54.2 x
D99938	75.7	89.3	87.2	14.75	60.3	53.4 x
BEN	73.3	91.3	89.5	15.03	61.0	53.3 x
DILSE	75.3	85.0	93.5	14.23	61.0	53.0 x
D99656	74.3	85.7	85.1	13.63	61.0	53.0 x
D98529	74.7	83.0	92.9	13.87	61.5	52.9 x
D99891	74.7	89.3	91.7	13.79	60.7	52.7 x
D95097	73.0	85.3	91.5	13.70	60.7	52.2 x
DGO14152	72.0	81.0	91.7	13.97	62.3	52.1 x
D99541	73.7	85.3	91.8	13.70	60.5	51.6 x
D98530	73.0	81.0	95.3	13.94	61.2	47.5 x
LEBSOCK	74.7	81.0	91.8	14.51	61.0	45.6 x
Average	74.5	85.2	89.6	13.67	61.1	54.8
Probability	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
CV (S/Mean) %	1.1	3.4	8.9	3.9	0.7	6.9
CV (SE/Mean)%	0.6	2.0	5.1	2.3	0.4	4.0
LSD (0.05)	1.3	4.8	13.1	0.88	0.7	6.2

* days from planting

x indicates significantly lower yield than check variety, Mountrail, at a probability of 0.05

Table 6. Relative yields of durum varieties as compared to Renville when grown in the Uniform Regional Durum trial under dryland fallow conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Plaza	56.7	54.7	36.9	54.6	59.7	52.5	100.5
Mountrail	50.8	55.8	37.1	56.8	60.7	52.2	100.0
Pierce	52.8	53.6	39.5	53.1	54.8	50.8	97.2
AC Avonlea	52.3	50.8	34.7	54.8	61.0	50.7	97.1
Maier	52.7	48.1	38.7	54.3	58.2	50.4	96.5
Renville	51.5	51.6	38.6	52.7	55.7	50.0	95.8
Ben	50.9	51.4	38.8	53.3	53.3	49.5	94.8
Dilse	50.5	47.8	39.4	52.6	53.0	48.7	93.1
Lebsock	48.3	47.0	40.6	53.6	45.6	47.0	90.0

NOTE: Average yields in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 7. Relative test weights of durum varieties as compared to Renville when grown in the Uniform Regional Durum trial under dryland fallow conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Lebsock	63.3	62.5	60.7	62.5	61.0	62.0	102.1
Pierce	63.0	62.0	60.2	62.0	61.8	61.8	101.8
Ben	62.8	62.0	59.7	63.3	61.0	61.8	101.7
Maier	62.5	60.8	59.8	63.0	61.3	61.5	101.3
Dilse	62.5	61.7	59.5	62.5	61.0	61.4	101.2
Renville	61.2	61.2	59.3	61.8	61.7	61.0	100.6
AC Avonlea	61.8	61.3	58.7	61.5	61.0	60.9	100.3
Plaza	62.7	60.5	58.3	61.7	60.8	60.8	100.2
Mountrail	62.2	61.0	57.8	61.3	61.2	60.7	100.0

NOTE: Average test weights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare test weights only to the check variety.

Table 8. Relative protein contents of durum varieties as compared to Renville when grown in the Uniform Regional Durum trial under dryland fallow conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Mountrail
AC Avonlea	13.3	13.7	15.1	15.2	13.9	14.2	105.8
Dilse	13.3	13.5	15.1	14.6	14.2	14.1	105.1
Renville	13.4	13.8	14.8	14.6	13.2	14.0	103.7
Ben	13.2	13.3	14.4	13.8	15.0	13.9	103.6
Lebsock	13.5	12.8	14.0	14.4	14.5	13.8	102.8
Maier	13.2	13.4	14.5	13.9	13.9	13.8	102.4
Pierce	12.8	12.9	14.4	14.8	13.7	13.7	101.9
Mountrail	12.4	12.4	14.9	14.2	13.4	13.5	100.0
Plaza	12.3	12.8	14.6	13.8	13.2	13.3	99.1

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare only to the check variety.

Table 9. Agronomic data obtained from a Uniform Regional durum yield trial grown under sprinkle irrigated conditions at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Sidney, MT.

Line or variety	Heading*	Height, cm	Lodging index	Hard vitreous amber color	grain protein	test wt, lb/bu	Yield, bu/ac
D99073	69.3	80.7	0.0	95.3	10.89	63.7	108.9 a
PLAZA	70.3	76.3	0.0	102.0	11.31	63.8	96.6 a
RENVILLE	68.7	104.0	0.7	85.8	11.57	63.7	91.5
D99983	67.7	93.3	0.3	89.3	10.76	63.7	90.4
D97780	68.3	89.7	0.0	107.7	12.28	63.7	86.8
D98529	67.0	83.0	0.0	109.2	12.44	64.0	85.2
D97643	69.7	87.3	0.0	80.7	10.99	63.3	84.6
D96604	68.0	85.3	0.0	103.1	11.46	63.8	84.2
D98530	66.3	87.7	0.0	111.3	12.57	63.7	83.0
D99938	67.3	89.3	0.0	100.9	12.22	63.5	81.6
D99637	69.0	94.0	0.0	100.1	12.87	63.7	81.6
DGO14152	65.3	86.0	0.3	113.5	13.48	64.7	81.1
D99541	69.0	84.7	0.0	98.7	12.05	63.2	80.5
Mountrail	69.3	80.0	0.0	106.4	12.97	62.8	79.4
AC Avonlea	66.3	92.7	0.0	106.2	13.20	63.2	78.8
MAIER	68.7	79.7	0.0	110.5	12.97	63.8	78.6
DILSE	69.0	85.3	0.0	107.3	14.18	63.0	77.9
D99656	69.0	89.3	0.3	100.4	11.85	63.8	77.8
D95097	66.3	86.7	0.0	104.5	12.34	63.3	76.7
Ben	67.0	95.7	1.0	100.8	12.99	63.8	73.4
D971511	68.3	93.0	0.3	107.2	13.45	62.8	68.8
Lebsock	67.0	77.7	0.0	109.0	13.20	63.5	68.4
D99891	66.0	92.0	0.0	109.3	13.63	63.2	67.5
Pierce	67.7	86.0	0.0	105.5	12.83	63.2	65.5 x
Average	67.9	87.5	0.1	102.7	12.44	63.5	81.2
Probability	0.001	0.011	0.001	0.380	0.004	0.006	<0.001
CV (S/Mean) %	2.0	8.5	207.1	13.1	8.3	0.7	9.2
CV (SE/Mean)%	1.2	4.9	119.6	7.6	4.8	0.4	5.3
LSD (0.05)	2.2	12.3	0.4	22.1	1.69	0.8	12.3

*days from planting

x indicates significantly lower yield than check variety, Mountrail, at a probability of 0.05

Table 10. Relative yields of durum varieties compared to Renville when grown in the irrigated Uniform Regional Durum Trial at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Plaza	94.6	57.2	72.4	117.0	96.6	87.6	102.2
Mountrail	103.2	48.0	73.3	124.3	79.4	85.6	100.0
Dilse	94.0	53.7	67.5	112.8	77.9	81.2	94.8
Maier	93.9	46.6	66.7	118.9	78.6	80.9	94.5
Lebsock	94.5	54.5	66.3	110.8	68.4	78.9	92.1
Ben	96.2	50.6	65.3	107.5	73.4	78.6	91.8
Renville	85.9	34.3	68.3	109.3	91.5	77.9	90.9
Pierce	94.3	32.8	71.6	116.1	65.5	76.1	88.8
AC Avonlea	94.9	27.7	64.4	112.7	78.8	75.7	88.4

NOTE: Average yields in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 11. Relative test weights of durum varieties compared to Renville when grown in the irrigated Uniform Regional Durum Trial at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Lebsock	64.0	58.8	63.5	64.3	63.5	62.8	101.8
Ben	63.3	58.3	63.2	64.5	63.8	62.6	101.5
Pierce	63.2	57.1	64.0	64.3	63.2	62.4	101.1
Maier	62.8	57.2	63.3	64.0	63.8	62.2	100.9
Dilse	62.8	57.7	62.3	63.8	63.0	61.9	100.4
Plaza	62.8	56.7	62.7	63.3	63.8	61.9	100.3
Renville	62.7	55.8	63.2	63.7	63.7	61.8	100.2
Mountrail	63.0	55.7	63.2	63.7	62.8	61.7	100.0
AC Avonlea	62.3	52.4	62.0	62.7	63.2	60.5	98.1

NOTE: Average test weights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 12. Relative protein contents of durum varieties compared to Renville when grown in the irrigated Uniform Regional Durum Trial at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Dilse	13.2	16.2	11.5	15.1	14.2	14.0	106.7
AC Avonlea	12.9	17.2	12.3	14.3	13.2	14.0	106.2
Maier	12.8	15.9	12.3	14.2	13.0	13.6	103.6
Ben	13.0	15.4	12.0	14.3	13.0	13.5	102.9
Renville	13.4	15.9	11.4	14.2	11.6	13.3	101.1
Pierce	12.2	15.8	11.4	14.0	12.8	13.2	100.6
Lebsock	12.5	15.2	11.5	13.7	13.2	13.2	100.5
Mountrail	11.8	15.8	11.6	13.6	13.0	13.2	100.0
Plaza	11.4	15.1	11.8	13.1	11.3	12.5	95.3

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 13. Relative lodging indices of durum varieties compared to Renville when grown in the irrigated Uniform Regional Durum Trial at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Mountrail
Renville	0.0	8.7	1.3	3.0	0.7	2.7	129.2
Pierce	0.0	8.3	1.0	3.0	0.0	2.5	116.0
Ben	0.0	7.3	0.7	2.7	1.0	2.3	110.4
Mountrail	0.0	8.0	1.3	1.3	0.0	2.1	100.0
Maier	0.0	7.7	0.3	2.0	0.0	2.0	94.3
Dilse	0.0	6.7	0.7	2.3	0.0	1.9	91.5
AC Avonlea	0.0	7.7	0.3	1.3	0.0	1.9	87.7
Lebsock	0.0	7.3	0.3	1.7	0.0	1.9	87.7
Plaza	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.9	41.5

NOTE: Average lodging indices in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 14. Agronomic data obtained from a uniform regional oat yield trial grown under dryland fallow conditions at the Eastern Agricultural Research Center, Sidney, MT.

Line of variety	Heading*	Height, cm	Grain protein	Test wt, lb/bu	Yield, bu/ac	
96AB8597	78.0	76.7	11.74	35.8	160.5	a
87AB5632	75.3	78.0	12.11	34.3	155.3	a
96AB8796	76.3	70.0	12.82	32.0	154.2	a
Rio Grande	72.0	73.0	13.20	33.0	153.9	a
ABSP 9-2	74.0	77.7	13.05	35.7	153.7	a
OT382	77.0	90.7	13.04	35.8	152.5	a
91AB502	69.0	70.0	13.56	33.7	150.1	a
ABSP14-6	74.0	77.3	12.66	34.7	149.8	a
CDC Pacer	75.3	86.0	12.50	36.5	148.4	a
95A10854	79.7	75.0	12.72	35.7	148.1	a
Monida	79.0	86.7	12.77	33.5	147.7	a
ABSP19-9	78.7	76.3	12.64	35.0	147.6	a
Maverick	76.0	64.0	12.79	33.7	146.9	
Killdeer	74.0	77.0	12.19	36.0	146.9	
Powell	77.3	67.7	12.79	32.0	146.7	
98AB6646	75.7	68.0	12.28	36.3	146.3	
Celsia	79.0	88.3	12.20	35.5	145.7	
98AB6491	75.3	68.7	11.95	35.8	144.4	
94AB5943	74.3	74.0	12.38	35.0	142.9	
CDC Dancer	76.0	90.7	12.43	36.2	142.5	
95A12584	72.7	77.0	12.89	34.3	141.2	
Cayuse	73.3	76.0	13.65	32.5	140.1	
97AB7571	75.0	79.0	13.29	35.7	140.1	
94AB5543	77.0	74.0	12.90	36.0	140.0	
Derby	76.7	94.0	12.54	36.7	138.6	
95A12661	76.7	69.3	12.95	34.5	137.7	
Maverick, treated	74.0	62.7	13.40	33.0	136.9	
UC 128	69.3	71.3	12.21	32.8	136.0	
Otana	75.7	91.3	12.82	37.0	135.6	
UC 129	69.0	72.0	12.23	33.7	133.5	
Ajay	74.3	59.0	14.11	33.5	132.7	
UC 125	72.7	57.7	11.90	35.3	123.6	x
Average	75.1	75.6	12.71	34.7	144.4	
Probability	<0.001	<0.001	0.014	<0.001	<0.001	
CV (S/Mean) %	1.3	5.5	5.3	1.8	4.8	
CV (SE/Mean)%	0.7	3.1	3.1	1.0	2.8	
LSD (0.05)	1.6	6.7	1.10	1.0	11.4	

* days from planting

a indicates significantly greater yield than check variety, Otana, at a probability of 0.05

x indicates significantly lower yield than check variety, Otana, at a probability of 0.05

Table 15. Relative yields of oat varieties as compared to Otana when grown under dryland fallow conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Otana
ABSP 14-6	--	--	66.3	140.6	149.8	118.9	110.4
ABSP 19-9	155.2	122.9	60.3	129.5	147.6	123.1	108.2
Monico	152.2	111.4	65.4	128.3	153.7	122.2	107.4
Maverick	149.7	116.5	69.5	122.2	146.9	121.0	106.3
OT382	--	122.0	38.2	136.2	152.5	112.2	105.5
Celsia	151.3	118.3	58.0	121.3	145.7	118.9	104.5
Monida	152.2	117.8	52.3	124.3	147.7	118.9	104.4
CDC Pacer	155.1	121.8	44.7	123.9	148.4	118.8	104.4
Killdeer	152.2	99.1	57.6	131.5	146.9	117.5	103.2
Cayuse	148.6	104.3	62.5	123.6	140.1	115.8	101.8
Powell	143.5	116.9	52.0	118.9	146.7	115.6	101.6
UC 128	--	96.2	65.2	130.0	136.0	106.9	100.4
UC 129	--	90.3	72.8	129.5	133.5	106.5	100.1
Derby	146.9	119.0	45.9	119.0	138.6	113.9	100.1
Otana	143.5	102.4	61.7	125.9	135.6	113.8	100.0
Rio Grande	134.0	95.4	70.0	114.1	153.9	113.5	99.7
CDC Dancer	--	101.0	49.7	127.2	142.5	105.1	98.8
Ajay	126.8	90.2	58.2	104.3	132.7	102.4	90.0
UC 125	--	82.1	25.6	111.8	123.6	85.8	80.6

NOTE: Average yields in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare yields only to the check variety.

Table 16. Relative test weights of oat varieties as compared to Otana when grown under dryland fallow conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Otana
CDC Pacer	39.8	39.2	32.2	36.7	36.5	36.9	101.3
CDC Dancer	--	37.8	32.7	37.5	36.2	36.1	101.0
Derby	40.3	38.5	30.8	37.2	36.7	36.7	100.8
Killdeer	39.2	36.8	32.8	38.3	36.0	36.6	100.5
Monico (ABSP 9-2)	40.5	37.7	31.3	37.0	35.7	36.4	100.1
Otana	39.3	37.5	31.5	36.8	37.0	36.4	100.0
OT382	--	38.0	31.0	37.7	35.8	35.6	99.8
ABSP 19-9	38.8	37.7	30.8	35.2	35.0	35.5	97.5
Celsia	38.7	36.8	28.7	35.3	35.5	35.0	96.1
UC 125	--	35.3	30.0	35.8	35.3	34.1	95.5
Maverick (90Ab1322)	39.0	37.8	29.2	34.2	33.7	34.8	95.5
UC 129	--	35.5	30.3	36.7	33.7	34.1	95.4
Monida	38.3	38.0	29.7	32.8	33.5	34.5	94.6
ABSP 14-6	--	--	29.7	34.8	34.7	33.1	94.2
UC 128	--	35.2	29.3	36.5	32.8	33.5	93.7
Ajay	38.0	36.3	27.5	35.3	33.5	34.1	93.7
Rio Grande	37.8	36.8	27.5	34.5	33.0	33.9	93.1
Powell	36.8	35.0	28.5	33.7	32.0	33.2	91.2
Cayuse	36.7	34.7	27.7	34.2	32.5	33.2	91.0

NOTE: Average test weights in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare test weights only to the check variety.

Table 17. Relative protein contents of oat varieties as compared to Otana when grown under dryland fallow conditions at the EARC, Sidney, Montana.

Cultivar	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Ave	as % of Otana
Ajay	11.9	13.3	11.7	15.2	14.1	13.2	106.1
Rio Grande	11.9	13.2	11.0	14.6	13.2	12.8	102.4
Cayuse	11.0	11.5	11.2	15.2	13.6	12.5	100.2
Otana	11.7	12.8	11.2	13.9	12.8	12.5	100.0
OT382	--	11.7	12.9	13.0	13.0	12.7	99.8
ABSP 19-9	10.4	11.6	13.3	14.3	12.6	12.4	99.7
ABSP 14-6	--	--	11.3	13.7	12.7	12.6	99.5
Maverick	11.5	11.7	11.8	13.8	12.8	12.3	98.7
Monico	10.7	11.4	12.8	13.5	13.0	12.3	98.4
CDC Pacer	10.4	12.5	11.3	14.1	12.5	12.2	97.4
Powell	10.3	12.3	10.4	14.9	12.8	12.1	97.3
UC 129	--	11.6	10.8	14.3	12.2	12.2	96.4
Killdeer	10.0	11.6	12.0	13.9	12.2	11.9	95.7
UC 128	--	11.3	11.4	13.4	12.2	12.1	95.3
Monida	9.9	11.7	10.2	14.8	12.8	11.9	95.2
CDC Dancer	--	11.0	10.6	13.7	12.4	11.9	94.1
Celsia	10.1	10.7	11.7	13.4	12.2	11.6	93.1
Derby	10.0	11.0	10.6	13.5	12.5	11.5	92.3
UC 125	--	9.7	11.5	12.0	11.9	11.3	89.0

NOTE: Average protein contents in this summary should not be compared to each other since they are not grown in the same years. Compare protein contents only to the check variety.